



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,086	12/28/2000	Atul N. Hatakar	10559-357001 / P10034	3517
20985	7590	11/16/2007	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2155		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/753,086	HATALKAR, ATUL N.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 27-42 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 27-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

Claims 27-42 are pending in this Office Action.

Claims 1-26 remain cancelled.

Claims 27, 36 are amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the amendment filed 10/10/07, have been fully considered but they found not persuasive. The reasons are set forth below.

Applicant's invention as claimed:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27, 30-31; 36-37, 39, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,622,303 by Yamashita.

Regarding claim 27, a method comprising:

compiling a first map that associates identifiers of clients in a digital cable broadcast system with identifiers of groups of two or more clients in the digital cable broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57; clients get an ID# and subscriber contract data);

transmitting the first map to available clients in the digital cable broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57);

Art Unit: 2155

compiling a second map in which associations between subscriber identifiers and client group identifiers have been changed (Yamashita: col. 6, lines 33-43);

transmitting the second map to available clients in the digital cable broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 23-25); and

broadcasting digital cable content intended to be accessible only by a subset of available clients to all available clients in the digital cable broadcast system, wherein accessible content is content that is to be output to client displays (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 25-30; pay per view material), and wherein said broadcasting comprises

associating the digital cable content with a first identifier of a first group of two or more clients (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 32-40) and broadcasting the first identifier in association with the digital cable content (Yamashita: col. 3, line 62- col. 4, line 5); and

configuring clients in the digital cable broadcast system to compare the first group identifier broadcast in association with the digital cable content with any group identifiers from a most recently received one of the first map and the second map that were associated with an identifier of the client (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 14-40), to determine if the digital cable content is to be discarded at the client , wherein discarded content is content that is not to be output to client displays (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 49-54).

Regarding claim 30, the method of claim 27, further comprising receiving a definition of a premium content group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the premium content group pay for premium content (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 49-55).

Regarding claim 31, the method of claim 30, wherein broadcasting the digital cable content comprises broadcasting premium content in association with an identifier of the premium content group (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 49-55).

Regarding claim 36, a broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 2, lines 17-22) comprising:

a data transmission network (Yamashita: Fig. 1);

a head end (Yamashita: Fig. 1, tag 2 digital broadcast system) comprising

Art Unit: 2155

a map that associates identifiers of clients in the broadcast system with identifiers of groups of two or more clients in the broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57; clients get an ID# and subscriber control #),

logic to associate content that is to be broadcast with an appropriate group identifier (Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57; clients get an ID# and subscriber control #), and

a transmitter to transmit the map and broadcast the content in association with the appropriate group identifier over the data transmission network even when the content is intended to be accessible only by a subset of available clients in the broadcast system, wherein accessible content is content that is to be output to a client display (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 23-30; pay per view material); and

a collection of clients, each client comprising

a receiver to receive the broadcast content in association with the appropriate group identifier and the map from the head end over the data transmission network (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 32-40),

logic to identify one or more groups to which the client belongs from the received map (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 32-40), and

logic to compare group identifiers associated with received broadcast content to group identifiers of any identified groups to determine if the broadcast content is accessible content that is to be output to a client display or inaccessible content that is not to be output to the client display (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 49-54).

Regarding claim 37, the broadcast system of claim 36, wherein the broadcast system comprises a digital cable broadcast system (Yamashita: col. 2, lines 17-22).

Regarding claim 39, the broadcast system of claim 36, wherein the head end further comprises: logic for changing the map associations between client identifiers and client group identifiers (Yamashita: col. 6, lines 33-43).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 41, the broadcast system of claim 36, wherein the map comprises a definition of a premium content group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the premium content, group pay for premium content (Yamashita: col. 5, lines 49-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-29, 34-35; 38, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,622,303 by Yamashita in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,551 by Swix et al.

Regarding claim 28, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 27.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach geographic areas.

However, the Swix reference teaches

receiving a definition of a geographic group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the geographic group are in a geographic area (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-9) because advertisements built with geographic region can be targeted (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 27 by Yamashita to include groups based on geographic area to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 29, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 28.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach geographic areas.

However, the Swix reference teaches

Art Unit: 2155

broadcasting the digital cable content comprises broadcasting an advertisement in association with an identifier of the geographic group (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23) because advertisements built with geographic region can be targeted (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 27 by Yamashita to include groups based on geographic area to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 34, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 27.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach a new group.

However, the Swix reference teaches

compiling the second map comprises compiling the second map to associate identifiers of clients with an identifier of a new group that has been added since broadcast of the first map (Swix: col. 8, lines 44-65) because adding a second group for categorizing the profile of the user enables better targeted advertising (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 27 by Yamashita to include updating profiles with groups based on acquired interests to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 35, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 27.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach a new group.

However, the Swix reference teaches compiling the second map comprises:

accessing a client profile database that includes information that profiles clients in the digital cable broadcast system (Swix: col. 8, lines 55-65); and

changing the associations between subscriber identifiers and client group identifiers based on the information included in the client profile database (Swix: col. 8, lines 45-65) because updating and adding associations between clients and groups for categorizing the profile of the user enables better targeted advertising (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Art Unit: 2155

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 27 by Yamashita to include updating profiles with groups based on acquired interests to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 38, the Yamashita reference teaches the broadcast system of claim 36.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach a database.

However, the Swix reference teaches a head end further comprises:

a client profile database that includes information that profiles clients in the broadcast system (Swix: col. 8, lines 55-65); and

logic to compile the map based, on client profiles in the client profile database (Swix: col. 55- col. 9, line 2) in order to associate clients with profiles or categories for targeted advertising.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 36 by Yamashita to include updating profiles with groups based on acquired interests to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 40, the Yamashita reference teaches the broadcast system of claim 36.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach a database.

However, the Swix reference teaches, wherein the map comprises a definition of a geographic group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the geographic group are in geographic area (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-10) because adding a second group for categorizing the profile of the user enables better targeted advertising (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the method of claim 27 by Yamashita to include updating profiles with groups based on acquired interests to provide targeted advertisements (Swix: col. 3, lines 3-23).

Claims 32-33, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,622,303 by Yamashita in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,932 by Eldering et al.

Regarding claim 32, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 27.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach child-containing household.

Art Unit: 2155

However the Eldering reference teaches receiving a definition of a child-containing household group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the child-containing household group report children present in the household (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33) in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the method as taught by Yamashita to include child household profile information as taught by Eldering in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

Regarding claim 33, the Yamashita reference teaches the method of claim 32.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach child-containing household.

However the Eldering reference teaches, wherein broadcasting the digital cable content comprises broadcasting a catalog of toys in association with an identifier of the child containing household group (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33) in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the method as taught by Yamashita to include child household profile information as taught by Eldering in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

Regarding claim 42, the Yamashita reference teaches the broadcast system of claim 36.

The Yamashita reference fails to teach child-containing household.

However, the Eldering reference teaches, wherein the map comprises a definition of a child-containing household group of two or more clients, wherein the clients in the child-containing household group report children present in the household (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33) in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the method as taught by Yamashita to include child household profile information as taught by Eldering in order to identify the particular group to advertise to (Eldering: col. 2, lines 6-33).

REMARKS

Applicant made minor amendments to claims 27 and 36 changing ‘has’ to ‘have’ and adding ‘in one or more’ with relation to the group.

The Applicant Argues:

The Yamashita reference does not teach the “a first map that associates identifiers of clients in a digital cable broadcast system with identifiers of groups of two or more clients in the digital cable broadcast system” (Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57; clients get an ID# and subscriber control #);

In response, the examiner respectfully submits:

The examiner maintains the rejection. The Yamashita reference does teaches “compiling a first map that associates identifiers of clients in a digital cable broadcast system with identifiers of groups of two or more clients in the digital cable broadcast system” in Yamashita: col. 4, lines 45-57. Yamashita teaches an EMM (col. 4, line 7) message containing a unique identifier and subscription contract data (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 45-57). The identification number is assigned to each receiving unit and assigned from a group of numbers by the central management system (see col. 3, lines 8-10). “When a subscription contract with the management company” is made, “he or she obtains one of identification numbers of the group” (col. 5, lines 42-54). The pairing with the subscriber control data is the mapping with the group data. The subscriber control data is used to authorize receiving units to access specific data such as channels, pay per view, services (col. 6, lines 14-17). The identification data is used to address the subscriber data. If the receiving unit ID matches the identification number in the EMM then it updates the subscriber data (col. 5, lines 15-25). The groups of two or more [as in claim 27] and one or more [as in claim 36] are the different contract data placing the user in different groups that each are allowed to receive different sets of data from the CATV. This mapping between identifier information and the group information is clear by Yamashita’s use of identification numbers with contract information (col. 7, lines 6-28).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-3982.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is 571-272-3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart
Examiner
Art Unit 2155

Philip Tran
PHILIP TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER